1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Mary Haley Ousley, State Bar No. 332711 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com mhousley@lexlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of Alameda 11/30/2023 at 02:10:14 PM By: Anita Dhir, Deputy Clerk
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE ST	CATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF AL	AMEDA
10		
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,	Case No. 23CV029956
12	Plaintiff,	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO: Judge Julia Spain; Department 520
13	v.	PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF
14	LULULEMON USA INC., et al.,	LODGING OF [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO
15	Defendants.	LULULEMON USA INC.
16 17		
18		(Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f))
19		Date: March 15, 2024 Time: 2:00 p.m
20		Dept.: 520
21		Reservation ID: A-29956-001
22		Complaint Filed: March 24, 2023 Trial Date: August 16, 2025
23		[Filed Concurrently with Memorandum
24		of Points & Authorities; and Declarations of Mark N. Todzo and Kaya Allan
25		Sugerman]
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	NOTICE OF LODGING OF CONSENT JUD	GMENT – CASE NO. 23CV029956

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD: 2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") has 3 lodged the following document with this Court: 1. [Proposed] Consent Judgment between CEH and Defendant Lululemon USA Inc. 4 5 (attached hereto as Exhibit 1). Entry of the Consent Judgment, which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1, will resolve CEH's 6 7 claims in this case as to defendant Lululemon USA Inc. CEH will, by motion filed 8 contemporaneously herewith, request that the Court sign and enter the Consent Judgment. 9 10 Dated: November 30, 2023 Respectfully submitted, 11 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP 12 13 Mark N. Todzo 14 Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit 1

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Mary Haley Ousley, State Bar No. 332711 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com mhousley@lexlawgroup.com Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF A		
11			
12			
13	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,) Case No. 23CV029956	
14	Plaintiff,)	
15	vs.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO LULULEMON	
16		USA INC.	
17	LULULEMON USA INC., et al.,)	
18	Defendants.)	
19		Ĺ	
20			
21	1. INTRODUCTION		
22	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judg	gment ("Parties") are the Center for	
23	Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant Lululemon USA INC. ("Settling Defendant").		
24	CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."		
25	1.2 CEH alleges that Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or		
26	more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells latex yoga mats that contain n-		
27	nitrosodimethylamine ("NDMA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.		
28	-1-		
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – LULULE	EMON – CASE NO. 23CV029956	

- 1.3 Nitrosamines, such as NDMA, can form during the manufacturing process of latex rubber products, including the Covered Products. One study contends that Covered Products can be made using alternate accelerators (hereafter "Alternate Accelerator") that do not form Nitrosamines. *See* Sheth, et al., *Nitrosamine Generating Accelerators in Curing of Rubber*, IJSRD, Vol. 1, Issue 3 (2013).
- 1.4 On September 14, 2022, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notices") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of NDMA in latex yoga mats that are distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.5 On March 24, 2023, CEH filed the above-captioned action in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in this action.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint in the above-captioned action ("Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment.
- 1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is, or shall be construed as, an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

-2-

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- **2.1** "Covered Products" means latex yoga mats manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant in California.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.
- 2.3 "Nitrosamine-Free Latex" means latex rubber that contains NDMA that is below the level of detection ("LOD") using a detection limit equal to 100 parts per billion (ppb) by weight when tested pursuant to ISO 19577-2019 by an independent accredited laboratory. In the event that CEH becomes aware that the International Organization for Standardization ("ISO") has validated and published a modified version of ISO 19577-2019 that results in a reduction in the LOD for NDMA, CEH may initiate a meet and confer pursuant to Section 6 to lower the LOD. In no event shall the LOD be reduced below 20 ppb. Alternatively, Nitrosamine-Free Latex may be demonstrated as containing NDMA less than 10 ppb as determined using ASTM F1313-90 (2011) or EN-71-12:2016, modified to use a sweat solution by an independent accredited laboratory.
 - 2.4 "Reformulation Date" means 180 days after the Effective Date.
- **2.5** "Reformulation Requirements" means the injunctive obligations set forth in Sections 3.1 and 3.2.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Specification Compliance Date. No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers of the Covered Products requiring that the Covered Products manufactured after the Reformulation Date be made with Nitrosamine Free Latex. Settling Defendant shall obtain and maintain written certification(s) from the suppliers of Covered Products confirming that all such Covered Products manufactured or received by Settling Defendant for distribution in California are made with Nitrosamine-Free Latex. Settling Defendant may rely upon a written certification from its supplier that supplied a Covered Product that such Covered Product is made with Nitrosamine-Free Latex if such certification has not previously been demonstrated to be invalid. Settling

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

Defendant may comply with the requirements of this Section 3.1 by incorporating the requirements of this Section into its vendor guidelines, restricted substances list or similar vendor specifications documents and obtaining written confirmation from its suppliers through its standard vendor approval and consent processes.

- 3.2 Reformulation Commitment. As of the Reformulation Date, Settling

 Defendant shall not manufacture or purchase for sale in California any Covered Products that are
 not made with Nitrosamine-Free Latex. Nothing in this Consent Judgment requires Settling

 Defendant to (a) perform testing on the Covered Products; or (b) recall any Covered Products that
 are already in the stream of commerce as of the Reformulation Date.
- 3.3 Sell-Through for Existing Inventory. The Reformulation Requirements of Section 3 shall not apply to Covered Products that Settling Defendant has purchased or manufactured prior to the Reformulation Date, including but not limited to Covered Products in distribution centers, in inventory, or at retail locations.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of Alameda, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation setting forth the basis for the alleged violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally. Should such attempts at meeting and conferring fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. In ruling on any motion to enforce the terms of this Section, the Court may, in addition to ordering compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, employ such remedies as necessary to ensure compliance with Proposition 65 including, but not limited to, requiring Settling Defendant to provide warnings. Should CEH prevail on any motion or application to enforce a material violation of this Consent Judgment under this Section, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion or application under this Section, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees

1	and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the court that CEH's
2	prosecution of the motion or application was not in good faith.
3	5. PAYMENTS
4	5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Within fifteen (15) calendar days of the
5	Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$ 140,000 as a settlement payment
6	as further set forth in this Section. Any payment by Settling Defendant shall be deemed to be
7	timely and not subject to a late charge and/or other penalty if (1) postmarked (if sent by the
8	United States Postal Service) or (2) delivered to an overnight carrier (e.g. Fed Ex), on or before
9	the deadline set forth in this paragraph.
10	5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant
11	shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth
12	below. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the
13	following categories and made payable as follows:
14	5.2.1 \$38,500 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code
15	§ 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety
16	Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental
17	Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty
18	payment for \$28,875 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer
19	identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:
20	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
21	Attn: Mike Gyurics
22	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
23	P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
24	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
25	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:
26	Attn: Mike Gyurics
27	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
28	1001 I Street, MS #19B
RED	-5-

Sacramento, CA 95814

3	
4	
5	

The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$9,625 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.2 \$28,500 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, \$ 3204. CEH will use these funds to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about toxic chemicals, including carcinogenic nitrosamines such as NDMA, work with industries interested in moving toward safer alternatives, advocate with government, businesses, and communities for business practices that are safe for human health and the environment, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to NDMA, and other toxic chemicals in consumer products sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$73,000 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$56,000 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$17,000 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.4 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to

-6-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - LULULEMON - CASE NO. 23CV029956

ACTIVE\200042390.3

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

10

11

13

27

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Type	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$ 28,875	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$ 9,625	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$ 28,500	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$ 56,000	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$ 17,000	LLG

6. **MODIFICATION**

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, members, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Additional Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to NDMA contained in the Covered Products that were manufactured, imported, sold, distributed or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Reformulation Date.
- 7.2 CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and

1	Additional Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other		
2	statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH regarding a		
3	violation of Proposition 65 and/or the failure to warn about exposure to NDMA or any other		
4	Proposition 65 listed nitrosamine arising or in connection with the Covered Products that were		
5	manufactured, imported, sold, distributed or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the		
6	Reformulation Date.		
7	7.3	Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant	
8	snall constitute	compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, the Defendant Releasees	
9	and its Additio	nal Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about NDMA	
10	in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the		
11	Effective Date, except as to any other retail seller who fails to provide an internet or catalogue		
12	warning provid	led to said retailer pursuant to Section 3.6 in a manner consistent with Section	
13	3.6.1.		
14	8. NOTIC	CE	
15	8.1	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the	
16	notice shall be	sent by electronic mail to:	
17		Mark Todzo	
18		Lexington Law Group	
		503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117	
19		mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com	
20	8.2	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent	
21	Judgment, the	notice shall be sent by electronic mail to:	
22		George Gigounas	
23		DLA Piper LLP (US)	
24		555 Mission Street, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA 94105	
25		georgpe.gigounas@dlapiper.com	
	8.3	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent	
26		other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.	
27	of straing the	and electrical main	
28			

-8-

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- **9.2** If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq. This section is not intended to preclude the ordinary operation of California Civil Code §1717.
- 11.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.3 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. JOINT PREPARATION

12.1 The Parties have jointly participated in the preparation of this Consent

Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. Accordingly,

-9-

that the Parties participated equally in the drafting of this Consent Judgment.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against

any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to

this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities

are to be resolved against the drafting Party shall not be employed in the interpretation of this

shall be construed against any Party, based upon the fact that one of the Parties and/or their

counsel prepared or drafted any portion of this Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed

Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654. No

inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment

14. SUBMISSION OF REPORTS AND DATA TO CEH

14.1 For any report or information that Settling Defendant submits to CEH pursuant to this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant may make such a submission subject to the terms of a protective order and/or confidentiality agreement as appropriate.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

-10-

1	15.	COMPL	IANCE WITH CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)
2		15.1	CEH and its attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements
3	referenced in California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).		
4	16. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS		
5		16.1	This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling
6	Defen	dant, and	other respective divisions, subdivision, and subsidiaries, and the successors and
7	assign	s of any of	them.
8	17.	RETENT	ΓΙΟΝ OF JURISDICTION
9		17.1	This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement, enforce or
10	modif	y the Cons	ent Judgment.
11	18.	AUTHO	RITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT
12		18.1	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
13	author	rized by the	e Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into
14	and ex	xecute the (Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party.
15	19.	NO EFF	ECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS
16		19.1	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
17	agains	st an entity	that is not the Settling Defendant (or a Defendant Releasee) on terms that are
18	differe	ent than the	ose contained in this Consent Judgment.
19	20.	EXECU	ΓΙΟΝ IN COUNTERPARTS
20		20.1	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and
21	by me	ans of facs	imile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to
22	constitute one document.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
ARED			-11-

1	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:	
2	AND DECREED:	
3		
4	Dated:, 2023	
5		Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
6		
7		
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9		
10		
11	Dated:October 12, 2023	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
12		
13		
14		
15		Kizzy Charles-Guzman Chief Executive Officer
16		Chief Executive Officer
17		
18		
19		
20	Dated:, 2023	LULULEMON USA INC.
21		
22		Signature
23		
24 25		Printed Name
26		
27		Title
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED		-12-
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMEN	T – LULULEMON – CASE NO. 23CV029956

-		
1	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:	
2		
3	Dated:, 2023	
4		
5		Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
6		
7		
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9		
10	7	
11	Dated:, 2023	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
12 13		
13		
15		Kizzy Charles-Guzman
16		Chief Executive Officer
17		,
18		
19	,	
20	Dated: October 17, 2023	LULULEMON USA INC.
21		
22		Signature
23		Ein Nicholas
24		Printed Name
25		
26		SVP Legal ad Corporate Title Sevetary
27		secretary
28		10
ON RECYCLED PAPER	-12- CONSENT JUDGMENT – LULULEMON – CASE NO. 23CV029956	